## organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Nagarajan Vembu,<sup>a</sup>\* Maruthai Nallu,<sup>a</sup> Elinor C. Spencer<sup>b</sup> and Judith A. K. Howard<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli 620 024, India, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Durham University, Durham DH1 3LE, England

Correspondence e-mail: mnalv2003@yahoo.com

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 120 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.034 wR factor = 0.070 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# 2-Nitrophenyl 3-nitrobenzenesulfonate

In the title molecule,  $C_{12}H_8N_2O_7S$ , there are weak  $C-H\cdots O$  interactions which generate rings of motifs S(5),  $R_2^1(5)$ ,  $R_1^2(4)$  and  $R_1^2(8)$ .

Received 6 August 2003 Accepted 14 August 2003 Online 23 August 2003

#### Comment

Aromatic sulfonates are used in monitoring the merging of lipids (Yachi *et al.*, 1989) and in many other fields (Spungin *et al.*, 1992; Tharakan *et al.*, 1992; Alford *et al.*, 1991; Jiang *et al.*, 1990; Narayanan & Krakow, 1983). The molecular and crystal structure of 3-nitrobenzenesulfonyl chloride has been recently reported (Vembu, Nallu, Spencer & Howard, 2003*c*). In view of the biological importance of its analogues and also to compare its structural parameters with those of its precursor, 3-nitrobenzenesulfonyl chloride, an X-ray study of the title compound, (I), was undertaken.



The molecular structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1 and selected geometric parameters are given in Table 1. Atoms N1, O1 and O2 deviate from the mean plane formed by atoms C1–C6 by 0.031 (3), 0.015 (4) and 0.085 (4) Å, respectively, while atoms N2, O6, O7 deviate from the mean plane formed by atoms C7–C12 by 0.146 (3), 0.874 (4) and -0.456 (4) Å, respectively. The dihedral angle between the C1–C6 and C7–C12 planes is 39.68 (8)°. This shows their non-coplanar orientation, similar to that reported for other aromatic sulfonates (Vembu, Nallu, Garrison & Youngs, 2003*b*,*c*,*d*,*e*; Vembu, Nallu, Spencer & Howard, 2003*a*,*b*,*d*,*e*), but in contrast to the near coplanar orientation found in 2,4-dinitrophenyl 4-toluenesulfonate (Vembu, Nallu, Garrison &



#### Figure 1

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved The molecular structure of (I), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.



## Figure 2

Diagram showing hydrogen bonds 1–5 as dashed lines(the numbers correspond to sequence of entries in Table 2).



#### Figure 3

Diagram showing hydrogen bonds 6–17 as dashed lines (the numbers correspond to sequence of entries in Table 2). Symmetry codes are as in Table 2.

Youngs, 2003*a*) and 4-methoxyphenyl 4-toluenesulfonate (Vembu *et al.*, 2003).

The crystal structure is stabilized by weak  $C-H\cdots O$ interactions (Table 2). The range of  $H \cdots O$  distances found in (I) agrees with that found for weak  $C-H \cdots O$  bonds (Desiraju & Steiner, 1999). As shown in Fig. 2, each of the C2-H2···O1, C4-H4···O3, C6-H6···O2, C6-H6···O4 and C11-H11···O7 interactions generates an S(5) graph-set motif (Bernstein et al., 1995; Etter, 1990). The C6-H6···O2 and C6-H6...O4 interactions constitute a pair of bifurcated donor bonds. As seen in Fig. 3, the  $C8-H8\cdots O4^{i}$  and C9-H9...O4<sup>i</sup> interactions constitute a pair of bifurcated acceptor bonds generating an  $R_2^1(5)$  motif. The C3-H3···O6<sup>ii</sup> and C3-H3...O4<sup>ii</sup> interactions constitute a pair of bifurcated donor bonds generating an  $R_1^2(8)$  motif. The C3-H3···O4<sup>ii</sup> and C4-H4···O4<sup>ii</sup> interactions constitute a pair of bifurcated acceptor bonds generating an  $R_2^1(5)$  motif. The C3-H3···O4<sup>ii</sup> and C3-H3···O5<sup>ii</sup> interactions constitute a pair of bifurcated donor bonds generating a symmetrical hydrogen-bonded chelate motif of graph-set  $R_1^2(4)$ . The C9-H9···O2<sup>ii</sup> and



### Figure 4

The packing of the molecules in the unit cell, viewed along the c axis, showing the network of hydrogen bonds.

C10-H10···O2<sup>ii</sup> interactions together form a pair of bifurcated acceptor bonds generating an  $R_2^1(5)$  motif. The C10-H10···O1<sup>iii</sup> and C11-H11···O1<sup>iii</sup> interactions together constitute a pair of bifurcated acceptor bonds generating an  $R_2^1(5)$  motif. The C2-H2···O6<sup>i</sup> and C11-H11···O6<sup>iv</sup> interactions also contribute towards the supramolecular aggregation of the title compound (Fig. 4).

## **Experimental**

3–Nitrobenzenesulfonyl chloride (4.9 mmol) dissolved in acetone (5 ml) was added to 2-nitrophenol (5.0 mmol) in NaOH (4 ml, 5%) and shaken well. The crude title compound (2.6 mmol, yield: 53%) precipitated from solution. Diffraction quality crystals were obtained by recrystallizing the crude product from aqueous ethanol.

#### Crystal data

$C_{12}H_8N_2O_7S$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 324.26$	Cell parameters from 2719
Orthorhombic, Pna2 <sub>1</sub>	reflections
a = 19.9671 (8)  Å	$\theta = 2.6-26.7^{\circ}$
$p = 12.7083 (5) \text{\AA}$	$\mu = 0.29 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
r = 5.1306 (2)  Å	T = 120 (2)  K
$V = 1301.88 (9) \text{ Å}^3$	Block <b>OR plate???</b> , colourless
Z = 4	$0.14 \times 0.12 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$
$D_x = 1.654 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD 6K area-	$R_{\rm int} = 0.059$
detector diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.1^{\circ}$
$\omega$ scans	$h = -24 \rightarrow 25$
9331 measured reflections	$k = -16 \rightarrow 15$
2832 independent reflections	$l = -6 \rightarrow 6$
2355 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_z^2) + (0.0332P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$vR(F^2) = 0.070$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
S = 0.96	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.18  {\rm e}  {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
2832 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.33 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
223 parameters	Absolute structure: (Flack, 1983),
Only coordinates of H atoms	1230 Friedel pairs
refined	Flack parameter = $-0.10(7)$

Table I				
Selected	geometric	parameters	(Å,	°).

C1-N1	1.471 (3)	S1-O5	1.5992 (16)
C5-S1	1.765 (2)	O1-N1	1.227 (2)
C7-O5	1.408 (2)	O2-N1	1.228 (2)
C12-N2	1.469 (3)	O6-N2	1.226 (2)
S1-O4	1.4195 (16)	O7-N2	1.229 (3)
S1-O3	1.4250 (16)		
O4-S1-O3	121.34 (10)	O1-N1-O2	124.0 (2)
O4-S1-O5	103.53 (9)	O1-N1-C1	117.7 (2)
O3-S1-O5	108.83 (9)	O2-N1-C1	118.28 (16)
O4-S1-C5	109.93 (9)	O6-N2-O7	124.76 (19)
O3-S1-C5	108.15 (10)	O6-N2-C12	117.54 (18)
O5-S1-C5	103.59 (9)	O7-N2-C12	117.67 (19)
C7-O5-S1	118.08 (13)		. ,
C5-S1-O5-C7	-71.90 (16)		

Table 2

Table 1

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
C2-H2···O1	0.93 (2)	2.40 (3)	2.708 (3)	99.2 (18)
C4-H4···O3	0.94 (3)	2.57 (2)	2.948 (3)	104.5 (17)
$C6-H6\cdots O2$	1.03 (2)	2.43 (2)	2.711 (3)	94.2 (14)
$C6-H6\cdots O4$	1.03 (2)	2.58 (2)	2.944 (3)	100.4 (16)
C11-H11···O7	0.91(2)	2.57 (2)	2.788 (3)	94.4 (16)
$C8-H8\cdots O4^{i}$	0.97(2)	2.72 (2)	3.359 (3)	123.8 (17)
$C9-H9\cdots O4^{i}$	0.95 (3)	2.73 (3)	3.374 (3)	125.3 (18)
$C2-H2\cdots O6^{i}$	0.93 (2)	2.48 (2)	3.092 (3)	123.5 (18)
C3-H3···O6 <sup>ii</sup>	0.98 (3)	2.98 (3)	3.836 (3)	147.1 (19)
C3-H3···O4 <sup>ii</sup>	0.98 (3)	2.78 (3)	3.409 (3)	122.5 (18)
C9−H9···O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95 (3)	2.88 (2)	3.398 (3)	115.6 (18)
$C4-H4\cdots O4^{ii}$	0.94 (3)	2.86 (2)	3.401 (3)	117.3 (18)
C10−H10···O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.93 (2)	2.54 (2)	3.236 (3)	131.4 (18)
C3-H3···O5 <sup>ii</sup>	0.98 (3)	2.93 (2)	3.842 (3)	156 (2)
C10−H10···O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.93 (2)	2.51 (2)	3.177 (3)	129.2 (19)
C11-H11···O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.91(2)	2.79 (2)	3.300 (3)	116.2 (17)
$C11\!-\!H11\!\cdots\!O6^{iv}$	0.91 (2)	2.60 (2)	3.484 (3)	163.5 (19)
a	1. 1.	(m) 1 1 .	1 /	

Symmetry codes: (i)  $\frac{1}{2} - x$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} + y$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (ii)  $\frac{1}{2} - x$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} + y$ ,  $z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii)  $\frac{1}{2} + x$ ,  $\frac{3}{2} - y$ , z - 1; (iv) 1 - x, 1 - y,  $z - \frac{1}{2}$ .

All H atoms were located from difference Fourier maps and their positional parameters were refined, with  $U_{\rm iso} = 1.2U_{\rm eq}$ (parent atom). The C-H bond lengths are in the range 0.91 (2)–1.03 (2) Å.

Data collection: *SMART-NT* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SMART-NT*; data reduction: *SAINT-NT* (Bruker, 1998); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1998); program(s)

used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

NV thanks the University Grants Commission—SERO, Government of India, for the award of a Faculty Improvement Programme Grant (TFTNBD097 dated 07.07.99). JAKH thanks the EPRSC for a Senior Research Fellowship and ECS thanks the EPRSC for support.

## References

- Alford, R. L., Honda, S., Lawrence, C. B. & Belmont, J. W. (1991). Virology, 183, 611–619.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Bruker (1998). SMART-NT and SAINT-NT. Versions 5.0. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Desiraju, G. R. & Steiner, T. (1999). The Weak Hydrogen Bond in Structural Chemistry and Biology. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Etter, M. C. (1990). Acc. Chem. Res. 23, 120-126.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Jiang, F. N., Jiang, S., Liu, D., Richter, A. & Levy, J. G. (1990). J. Immunol. Methods, 134, 139–149.
- Narayanan, C. S. & Krakow, J. S. (1983). Nucleic Acids Res. 11, 2701–2716.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1998). SHELXTL. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spungin, B., Levinshal, T., Rubenstein, S. & Breitbart, H. (1992). *FEBS Lett.* **311**, 155–160.
- Tharakan, J., Highsmith, F., Clark, D. & Drohsn, W. (1992). J. Chromatogr. 595, 103–111.
- Vembu, N., Nallu, M., Garrison, J., Hindi, K. & Youngs, W. J. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, 0830–0832.
- Vembu, N., Nallu, M., Garrison, J. & Youngs, W. J. (2003a). Acta Cryst. E59, 0378–0380.
- Vembu, N., Nallu, M., Garrison, J. & Youngs, W. J. (2003b). Acta Cryst. E59, 0503–0505.
- Vembu, N., Nallu, M., Garrison, J. & Youngs, W. J. (2003c). Acta Cryst. E59, 0776–0779.
- Vembu, N., Nallu, M., Garrison, J. & Youngs, W. J. (2003d). Acta Cryst. E59, 0936–0938.
- Vembu, N., Nallu, M., Garrison, J. & Youngs, W. J. (2003e). Acta Cryst. E59, 01019–01021.
- Vembu, N., Nallu, M., Spencer, E. C. & Howard, J. A. K. (2003a). Acta Cryst. E59, o1009–o1011.
- Vembu, N., Nallu, M., Spencer, E. C. & Howard, J. A. K. (2003b). Acta Cryst. E59, 01033–01035.
- Vembu, N., Nallu, M., Spencer, E. C. & Howard, J. A. K. (2003c). Acta Cryst. E59, o1036–o1038.
- Vembu, N., Nallu, M., Spencer, E. C. & Howard, J. A. K. (2003d). Acta Cryst. E59, o1213–o1215.
- Vembu, N., Nallu, M., Spencer, E. C. & Howard, J. A. K. (2003e). Acta Cryst. E59, o1216–o1219.
- Yachi, K., Sugiyama, Y., Sawada, Y., Iga, T., Ikeda, Y., Toda, G. & Hanano, M. (1989). Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 978, 1–7.